

Infrastructure Development and Its Socioeconomic Implications: An International Collaborative Study on Rural Transformation in Enrekang Regency, Indonesia

Emilie Laurent¹, Akmal Ridwan² Aisha R. Manou³

Luxembourg Institute for Public Policy, Luxembourg¹

Muhammadiyah University of Sorong, Indonesia²

Seychelles Centre for Heritage & Tourism, Palestina³

Email: emilie.laurent@institut-research.lu akmalridwan@gmail.com aisha.manou@seychellesheritage.sc

Article Info

rural infrastructure, socioeconomic development, governance, international collaboration, sustainable development, Enrekang Regency

Abstract

This international collaborative research investigates the socioeconomic implications of rural infrastructure development in Enrekang Regency, Indonesia, through the partnership of researchers from Luxembourg, Seychelles, and the Maldives. Employing a qualitative descriptive design, the study gathered data via interviews, observations, and document analysis involving 18 participants, including local officials, community leaders, and residents. The findings reveal that improvements in road connectivity, health centers, and educational facilities in Janggurara Village have significantly enhanced accessibility, economic productivity, and social inclusion. Infrastructure upgrades stimulated agricultural trade, supported local enterprises, and improved access to education and healthcare, particularly benefiting women and elderly residents. However, governance challenges persist, including limited community participation, land acquisition disputes, and environmental disruptions. Comparative insights from Luxembourg underscore the role of governance efficiency and institutional frameworks, while lessons from Seychelles and the Maldives highlight the value of community-based and climate-resilient approaches. The study concludes that sustainable infrastructure development in rural Indonesia requires participatory governance, transparent decision-making, and climate-adaptive planning. Transnational collaboration enhances policy innovation by integrating diverse experiences and promoting mutual learning between developed and developing contexts. The research contributes to global discourse on rural transformation and supports the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, particularly SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure) and SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities). Overall, this study demonstrates that inclusive, adaptive, and internationally informed infrastructure strategies are key drivers of equitable and resilient rural development.

1. Introduction

Infrastructure development has long been recognized as one of the fundamental pillars of socioeconomic progress, particularly in developing countries where disparities between urban and rural areas remain a persistent challenge. Rural infrastructure—comprising transportation networks, water and sanitation systems, health facilities, and educational institutions—plays a vital role in promoting inclusive economic growth, improving access to basic services, and enhancing social welfare (World Bank, 2021). The absence or inadequacy of such infrastructure often leads to limited mobility, market isolation, and a lower quality of life for rural populations. Consequently, the equitable distribution of infrastructure investments is

central to achieving sustainable development, reducing poverty, and strengthening human capital (Todaro & Smith, 2020).

Indonesia, as an archipelagic nation with vast territorial diversity, faces a significant challenge in ensuring balanced infrastructure development across its regions. Despite notable national initiatives such as the *Master Plan for the Acceleration and Expansion of Indonesia's Economic Development (MP3EI)* and the *National Medium-Term Development Plan (RPJMN)*, the implementation of rural infrastructure projects remains uneven. The majority of development funds and projects are concentrated in Java and Sumatra, while eastern and peripheral regions—including parts of Sulawesi, Maluku, and Papua—still experience infrastructural deficits (Bappenas, 2022).

Enrekang Regency, located in South Sulawesi, represents one such region where rural communities continue to struggle with accessibility and service quality, despite ongoing decentralization reforms and village development programs.

The village of Janggurara in Enrekang Regency provides a compelling case for understanding the social, economic, and governance dynamics of rural infrastructure development in Indonesia. Situated in a mountainous area, Janggurara faces geographical barriers that limit transportation and market integration. The introduction of new roads, bridges, health posts, and schools has gradually transformed the socioeconomic landscape, enabling farmers to reach broader markets and students to access better educational facilities. However, these changes also raise questions about sustainability, community participation, and environmental management. As rural infrastructure projects expand, it becomes increasingly important to assess whether they truly serve the needs of local communities or merely reflect top-down planning priorities.

Globally, the study of rural infrastructure development has evolved from focusing solely on physical construction to examining its multidimensional impacts, including governance, inclusivity, and resilience. Research in African and South Asian contexts emphasizes that the success of infrastructure programs depends not only on technical efficiency but also on participatory governance and local ownership (Chambers, 2019; Kessides, 2020). In Southeast Asia, the integration of community-based approaches into infrastructure governance has proven effective in enhancing project sustainability and fostering social cohesion. However, empirical evidence from Indonesia—particularly from rural and mountainous regions—remains limited. Existing studies often concentrate on macroeconomic indicators or national-level policies, leaving a gap in understanding how local governance, social norms, and transnational collaborations shape rural development outcomes.

This research responds to that gap by investigating the socioeconomic implications of rural infrastructure development in Enrekang Regency through an international collaborative framework involving researchers from Luxembourg, Seychelles, and the Maldives. The inclusion of these countries provides a unique comparative perspective. Luxembourg, as a highly developed European nation, offers insights into efficient governance models, institutional accountability, and the integration of technology in infrastructure management. Seychelles and the Maldives, both small island developing states (SIDS), contribute valuable lessons in community-based adaptation, environmental resilience, and inclusive planning within resource-constrained contexts. By bringing these perspectives together, the study enriches the discourse on rural infrastructure governance and development in Indonesia.

Moreover, rural infrastructure is not merely an economic instrument; it also embodies political, cultural, and environmental dimensions. Infrastructure development can act as a vehicle for state legitimacy, social transformation, and spatial justice (Harvey, 2018). Yet, it can also reproduce inequality if not managed inclusively. For instance, road expansion may increase market access for some while displacing others through land acquisition conflicts or ecological degradation. In Enrekang Regency, such tensions are visible in the differing interests of local elites, farmers, and government agencies. Understanding these tensions requires a holistic approach that connects local realities to broader governance frameworks and global sustainability agendas.

The United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) offer a relevant lens through which to analyze these issues, particularly SDG 9 (Industry, Innovation, and Infrastructure) and SDG 11 (Sustainable Cities and Communities). These goals emphasize the importance of resilient, inclusive, and sustainable infrastructure as a driver of equitable development. However, achieving these targets in rural Indonesia requires addressing systemic challenges such as limited

fiscal capacity, weak institutional coordination, and insufficient community participation. International collaboration, as demonstrated in this research, can play a transformative role by fostering cross-country learning, sharing technological innovations, and promoting governance reforms aligned with global best practices.

From a theoretical standpoint, this study draws upon the framework of *inclusive development* and *governance for sustainability*. Inclusive development posits that economic growth should be accompanied by equitable access to resources and decision-making opportunities, ensuring that marginalized groups benefit from progress (Gupta & Vegelin, 2016). Governance for sustainability, on the other hand, stresses transparency, accountability, and multi-stakeholder participation in policy implementation (Meadowcroft, 2019). When applied to rural infrastructure, these frameworks suggest that successful outcomes depend on the interaction between institutional arrangements, community agency, and environmental stewardship. In the case of Enrekang, understanding how these elements converge provides insight into both opportunities and constraints in achieving sustainable rural transformation.

Methodologically, the study employs a qualitative descriptive approach to capture the complex and context-specific realities of rural infrastructure development. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews, field observations, and document analysis involving 18 participants—comprising government officials, community leaders, and residents. This approach enables an in-depth exploration of perceptions, practices, and governance mechanisms at the village level. It also allows for comparative reflection using insights from Luxembourg, Seychelles, and the Maldives to contextualize Enrekang's experience within broader global trends. Such an integrative approach aligns with the growing recognition in development research that cross-national collaboration enhances the quality and relevance of local studies (Adams et al., 2022).

The preliminary findings indicate that infrastructure improvements in Janggurara Village have generated substantial socioeconomic benefits. Enhanced road connectivity facilitates agricultural trade and market access; new educational and healthcare facilities contribute to human capital development and social inclusion. Women, in particular, report increased participation in local economic activities due to improved mobility. Nonetheless, several governance challenges persist, including insufficient community consultation, land acquisition disputes, and environmental disturbances. These findings underscore the dual nature of infrastructure—both as a catalyst for progress and a potential source of inequality when participatory mechanisms are weak.

In this context, the research seeks to achieve three key objectives. First, it aims to analyze the socioeconomic impacts of rural infrastructure development on community welfare and local economies in Enrekang Regency. Second, it examines governance processes and community participation in planning and implementation, identifying areas where transparency and inclusivity can be strengthened. Third, it situates Indonesia's rural infrastructure experience within an international comparative framework, drawing lessons from Luxembourg, Seychelles, and the Maldives to propose adaptive and context-relevant policy recommendations. Through these objectives, the study contributes both empirically and theoretically to the literature on rural development, governance, and transnational collaboration.

Ultimately, this research aspires to advance scholarly and policy discussions on how inclusive, adaptive, and globally informed infrastructure strategies can promote equitable rural transformation. By linking local realities in Indonesia to global development discourses, the study not only fills a significant empirical gap but also provides a foundation for cross-country learning in the pursuit of sustainable and resilient communities.

2 Research Methodology

This study adopts a **qualitative descriptive research design** to explore the socioeconomic implications of infrastructure development in Enrekang Regency, Indonesia. The research was conducted through an **international collaborative framework**, integrating interdisciplinary expertise from institutions in Luxembourg, Seychelles, and the Maldives. This collaborative approach enabled a more holistic understanding of rural infrastructure development from multiple cultural, environmental, and governance perspectives. By employing a case study strategy, the research seeks to capture the contextual realities of local infrastructure initiatives while situating them within broader international development discourses.

3.1 Research Design

The study employs a **qualitative case study approach**, as proposed by Yin (2014) and Creswell (2018), which allows for an in-depth exploration of complex social phenomena within their real-life settings. The choice of a qualitative design is justified by the study's focus on understanding perceptions, experiences, and social processes rather than measuring variables quantitatively. The descriptive nature of the study facilitates a detailed narrative on how infrastructure projects affect community livelihoods, governance mechanisms, and socioeconomic dynamics. This methodological orientation aligns with similar research frameworks used in small-nation development studies (Gerring, 2017), emphasizing contextual interpretation and comparative insight.

3.2 Research Site and Context

The empirical fieldwork was conducted in **Janggurara Village**, located in Baraka District, Enrekang Regency, South Sulawesi Province, Indonesia. This site was purposively selected due to its active engagement in rural infrastructure projects funded through the Indonesian Village Fund (*Dana Desa*). Enrekang Regency serves as a representative microcosm of rural Indonesia, where agricultural

livelihoods and limited accessibility to urban centers shape the community's developmental trajectory.

The international dimension of this research involved **comparative consultation and methodological peer review** by collaborating researchers from:

1. *Luxembourg Institute for Public Policy* (Luxembourg) – expertise in governance and infrastructure planning.
2. *Maldives University of Marine & Development* (Maldives) – expertise in sustainable infrastructure and island development.
3. *Seychelles Centre for Heritage & Tourism* (Seychelles) – expertise in community empowerment and participatory planning.

These collaborations contributed to the design of data collection instruments, cross-validation of analytical frameworks, and comparative discussion of results.

3.3 Participants and Sampling

The study utilized a **purposive sampling technique** (Patton, 2015) to select key informants who possess significant knowledge or involvement in infrastructure development activities. Participants included:

- The Village Head and Village Secretary (policy and decision-making perspectives).
- Hamlet Heads and Community Leaders (implementation and local governance).
- Youth Representatives and Women's Group Leaders (social inclusion and empowerment).
- Local residents and farmers (beneficiaries of infrastructure outcomes).

In total, **18 participants** were interviewed between January and March 2022. The sampling ensured representation across gender, age, and socioeconomic backgrounds to obtain diverse perspectives. Prior to participation, all respondents were informed about the study's objectives, procedures, and their rights as participants, ensuring voluntary and ethical engagement.

3.4 Data Collection Techniques

Data were collected using **three main techniques**:

1. **In-depth Interviews:** Semi-structured interviews were conducted to elicit participants' experiences, perceptions, and evaluations of the infrastructure development projects. Interviews lasted between 45 and 90 minutes and were recorded with participant consent.
2. **Direct Observation:** Field observations were conducted to assess the physical condition of roads, health posts, and educational facilities. Observational data provided contextual verification of the interview narratives.
3. **Document Analysis:** Official village development plans, government policy documents, and progress reports were reviewed to triangulate empirical findings with secondary data sources.

In line with international research standards, the interview guide was jointly designed by the multinational research team, ensuring cross-cultural validity and comparability of insights. Field data collection in Enrekang Regency was coordinated by the Indonesian research partner, while external collaborators contributed remotely to the analysis and interpretation phases.

3.5 Data Analysis

Data analysis followed the **Miles and Huberman (1994)** framework for qualitative research, consisting of three main stages:

1. **Data Reduction:** Thematic coding was used to identify key patterns, concepts, and relationships emerging from interview transcripts, field notes, and documents.
2. **Data Display:** Visual matrices and narrative summaries were developed to organize findings across dimensions such as economic outcomes, social participation, and governance practices.
3. **Conclusion Drawing and Verification:** Emerging interpretations were continuously compared with empirical evidence and cross-validated by international

collaborators to ensure consistency and reliability.

Additionally, **NVivo 12** software was utilized to assist in coding and theme categorization, thereby enhancing analytical rigor and transparency. The comparative discussions among researchers from four different institutional backgrounds served as an informal peer review process, enriching interpretive depth and ensuring contextual relevance.

3.6 Validity and Reliability

To ensure the **trustworthiness** of the findings, the study implemented **triangulation**, **member checking**, and **peer debriefing** techniques (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). Triangulation involved cross-verifying information obtained from interviews, observations, and documents. Member checking allowed key informants to review and validate summaries of their statements, minimizing the risk of misinterpretation. Peer debriefing with the international research partners ensured that analytical conclusions were not biased by local assumptions or cultural particularities.

In addition, reflexive journaling was employed throughout the research process to maintain transparency in the interpretation of data and to acknowledge the positionality of the researchers. This reflexive process is particularly important in collaborative, cross-national studies, where cultural assumptions and institutional perspectives may differ significantly.

3.7 Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval for this study was obtained from the **Research Ethics Committee of Muhammadiyah University of Sorong, Indonesia**, in collaboration with partner institutions abroad. All participants were provided with informed consent forms that explained the objectives, potential risks, and confidentiality protocols of the study. Personal identifiers were anonymized to protect participants' privacy, and all digital records were securely stored.

The international collaborators adhered to the ethical standards outlined by their respective institutions and followed the **Declaration of Helsinki (2013)** principles for research involving human participants. The study's ethical approach emphasizes respect, transparency, and reciprocity—key values in conducting international collaborative field research.

3.8 Research Duration and Limitations

The fieldwork spanned a three-month period from **January to March 2022**, allowing sufficient time for data collection, cross-country coordination, and iterative analysis. While the qualitative design provided rich contextual understanding, the findings are not statistically generalizable. Limitations include potential respondent bias, logistical constraints in accessing remote villages, and differences in institutional interpretation among collaborating partners. Nonetheless, these limitations were mitigated through methodological rigor and collective analysis.

3. Results and Discussion

The study explored the impact of infrastructure development on the socioeconomic transformation of Janggurara Village, Enrekang Regency, Indonesia. Data collected from interviews, observations, and document reviews provided insights into the multidimensional effects of infrastructure initiatives. These results are discussed thematically, with comparative insights drawn from the perspectives of international collaborators.

3.1. Improvement of Accessibility and Mobility

One of the most notable impacts of infrastructure development in Janggurara Village is the enhancement of road networks and transportation facilities. Respondents highlighted that road improvements reduced travel times to schools, health centers, and local markets. This has improved access to education and healthcare while stimulating small-scale trade. These findings are consistent with the

role of transport infrastructure in reducing spatial inequality, as noted by Calderón and Servén (2014).

From an international lens, collaborators from Seychelles emphasized similar experiences in small island communities, where road and port infrastructure significantly influences mobility and social integration. The comparative perspective underscores the universality of transport development as a driver of socioeconomic inclusion in both rural and island contexts.

3.2. Economic Empowerment through Local Enterprises

Infrastructure development has directly supported the growth of local enterprises. Improved roads facilitated the movement of agricultural goods, particularly coffee and vegetables, which are the primary commodities in Janggurara. Farmers reported better market accessibility and increased bargaining power due to reduced transportation costs. Additionally, the emergence of roadside micro-enterprises, such as food stalls and retail kiosks, indicated the spillover effect of improved infrastructure.

Collaborators from Luxembourg highlighted the importance of small-scale enterprises in rural revitalization within European contexts, particularly in regions transitioning from traditional agriculture to diversified economies. This comparative view strengthens the argument that infrastructure serves as a foundation for entrepreneurial activities, regardless of geographic or developmental contexts.

3.3. Social Inclusion and Human Development

Improved infrastructure also contributed to social inclusion by enabling greater access to public services. In Janggurara, the construction of reliable road networks facilitated school attendance and reduced absenteeism, while healthcare access improved as medical staff could more easily reach rural clinics. Respondents emphasized that women

and elderly residents, who were previously disadvantaged by mobility constraints, benefitted most from the new infrastructure. Researchers from the Maldives noted parallel experiences in rural atolls, where infrastructure upgrades expanded education and health access, reducing inequalities across demographic groups. This comparison highlights the intersection of infrastructure with Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly those related to quality education (SDG 4) and reduced inequalities (SDG 10).

3.4. Governance and Community Participation Challenges

Despite the positive impacts, several governance-related challenges emerged. Local participation in infrastructure planning was limited, with many villagers perceiving decisions as top-down and externally driven. Moreover, resistance to land acquisition and conflicts over resource allocation were frequently mentioned by respondents. Weather-related disruptions, particularly heavy rainfall, also slowed construction and maintenance efforts.

The Seychelles team observed similar governance challenges in community-based infrastructure projects, where lack of local involvement reduced project ownership and sustainability. This convergence suggests that participatory governance remains a global challenge in rural development, even across diverse political and cultural settings.

3.5. Broader International Insights and Policy Implications

The comparative input from Luxembourg, Seychelles, and the Maldives enhanced the interpretation of findings. While Enrekang illustrates the challenges of a developing rural context, parallels with small developed and island nations highlight transferable lessons. Luxembourg demonstrates how strong governance frameworks can institutionalize infrastructure sustainability, while Seychelles and the Maldives showcase how localized,

community-driven solutions can adapt to environmental constraints.

For Enrekang, the implication is that policy frameworks must prioritize community involvement in project design, while also leveraging adaptive mechanisms to mitigate climate-related risks. This aligns with the perspective of international collaborators that sustainable infrastructure must integrate social, environmental, and governance dimensions.

3.5 Discussion Synthesis

The findings affirm that infrastructure development serves as a catalyst for socioeconomic transformation, yet its success depends on the synergy between physical improvements and governance inclusivity. International collaboration provided comparative insights that enriched the interpretation of local experiences in Enrekang, positioning the study within a broader discourse on rural transformation. By aligning the results with global experiences, the research strengthens its contribution to the literature on sustainable development and infrastructure-led growth.

4. Closing

4.1 Conclusion

This international collaborative study demonstrates that infrastructure development in Janggurara Village, Enrekang Regency, Indonesia, has contributed significantly to socioeconomic transformation, particularly in improving accessibility, fostering local enterprises, and enhancing social inclusion. The findings confirm that physical infrastructure acts as a catalyst for economic growth and human development when supported by effective governance. However, the study also identifies critical challenges, including limited community participation in decision-making, land acquisition disputes, and environmental disruptions.

Through the involvement of researchers from Luxembourg, Seychelles, and the Maldives, the study gains a comparative perspective that enriches its implications. While Enrekang

represents the realities of a rural context in a developing country, insights from small developed and island nations highlight commonalities in governance challenges and community needs. These collaborative reflections emphasize that infrastructure development is not only a technical intervention but also a socio-political process that requires inclusivity, resilience, and adaptability. The study thereby contributes to the global discourse on sustainable development, aligning with the Sustainable Development Goals and offering lessons for rural transformation in diverse contexts.

4.2 Recommendations

1. Strengthen Community Participation

Future infrastructure projects in rural Indonesia should prioritize participatory governance, ensuring that community voices are included in planning, implementation, and monitoring. Lessons from the Maldives and Seychelles highlight that inclusive participation fosters project ownership and long-term sustainability.

2. Enhance Governance Frameworks

Local governments should adopt transparent and accountable mechanisms for infrastructure planning and implementation. Drawing from Luxembourg's experience, institutionalizing governance frameworks can ensure efficient resource allocation and reduce conflicts over land use.

3. Develop Climate-Resilient Infrastructure

Given the challenges posed by extreme weather in Enrekang, infrastructure projects should incorporate adaptive designs that can withstand environmental disruptions. Collaboration with small island states, which have expertise in climate adaptation, can offer valuable models.

4. Promote Economic Diversification

Infrastructure development should be aligned with strategies to diversify rural economies beyond agriculture. Supporting micro, small, and medium-sized enterprises (MSMEs) can maximize the economic benefits of improved connectivity, as

evidenced by successful rural initiatives in European contexts.

5. Encourage Transnational Knowledge Exchange

Ongoing international collaboration between Indonesia and small nations across different regions should be institutionalized through academic partnerships and policy dialogues. Such transnational learning fosters innovation, allowing rural communities to adapt global best practices to local needs.

Bibliography

Aschauer, D. A. (1989). Is public expenditure productive? *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 23(2), 177–200. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932\(89\)90047-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3932(89)90047-0)

Calderón, C., & Servén, L. (2014). Infrastructure, growth, and inequality: An overview. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, 7034, 1–48. <https://doi.org/10.1596/1813-9450-7034>

Dethier, J. J., Hirn, M., & Straub, S. (2011). Explaining enterprise performance in developing countries with business climate survey data. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 26(2), 258–309. <https://doi.org/10.1093/wbro/lkp007>

Estache, A., & Wren-Lewis, L. (2011). Toward a theory of regulation for developing countries: Following Jean-Jacques Laffont's lead. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 49(3), 729–770. <https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.49.3.729>

Fan, S., Hazell, P., & Thorat, S. (2000). Government spending, growth and poverty in rural India. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 82(4), 1038–

1051. <https://doi.org/10.1111/0002-9092.00101>
- Gannon, C., & Liu, Z. (1997). Poverty and transport. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, 2670, 1-52.
- Gibson, J., & Olivia, S. (2010). The effect of infrastructure access and quality on non-farm enterprises in rural Indonesia. *World Development*, 38(5), 717-726. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2009.11.001>
- Gramlich, E. M. (1994). Infrastructure investment: A review essay. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 32(3), 1176-1196.
- Gupta, S., Kangur, A., Papageorgiou, C., & Wane, A. (2014). Efficiency-adjusted public capital and growth. *World Development*, 57, 164-178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2013.11.012>
- Jalan, J., & Ravallion, M. (2002). Geographic poverty traps? A micro model of consumption growth in rural China. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 17(4), 329-346. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jae.645>
- Jedwab, R., & Storeygard, A. (2017). The average and heterogeneous effects of transportation investments: Evidence from sub-Saharan Africa. *World Bank Economic Review*, 31(3), 513-530. <https://doi.org/10.1093/wber/lhw025>
- Jimenez, E. (1995). Human and physical infrastructure: Public investment and pricing policies in developing countries. In J. Behrman & T. N. Srinivasan (Eds.), *Handbook of Development Economics*, 3 (pp. 2773-2843). Elsevier. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1573-4471\(05\)80012-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1573-4471(05)80012-7)
- Kessides, C. (1993). The contributions of infrastructure to economic development: A review of experience and policy implications. *World Bank Discussion Paper*, 213.
- Lall, S. V. (2007). Infrastructure and regional growth, growth dynamics, and policy implications for India. *Annals of Regional Science*, 41(3), 581-599. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00168-007-0120-5>
- Narayan, D. (1995). The contribution of people's participation: Evidence from 121 rural water supply projects. *Environmentally Sustainable Development Occasional Paper Series*, 1. World Bank.
- OECD. (2015). Infrastructure investment: The role of private finance. *OECD Publishing*. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264239778-en>
- Romp, W., & De Haan, J. (2007). Public capital and economic growth: A critical survey. *Perspektiven der Wirtschaftspolitik*, 8(1), 6-52. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2516.2007.00242.x>
- Seetanah, B. (2012). Transport infrastructure and economic growth: Evidence from Africa using dynamic panel estimates. *Transport Policy*, 19(1), 327-336. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tranpol.2011.07.004>
- Straub, S. (2011). Infrastructure and development: A critical appraisal of the macro-level literature. *Journal of Development Studies*, 47(5), 683-708. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220381003719394>
- Torres, R., & Thacker, S. (2016). Infrastructure investment and productivity growth: A synthesis. *IDB Working Paper Series*, 678. Inter-American Development Bank.

United Nations. (2015). Transforming our world: The 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development. *UN Publishing*.

Van de Walle, D. (2002). Choosing rural road investments to help reduce poverty. *World Development*, 30(4), 575–589. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X\(01\)00127-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-750X(01)00127-9)

World Bank. (2019). *Infrastructure for development: A policy agenda for sustainable growth*. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.

Yescombe, E. R. (2014). Public-private partnerships in infrastructure: Principles of policy and finance. *Elsevier*.

Sakernas Indonesia. (2022). Labor force and infrastructure survey. *Badan Pusat Statistik Indonesia*.

National / Regional References (from your list)

Biantoro, R., & Ma'rif, S. (2014). The influence of tourism on the socio-economic characteristics of the community in the Borobudur Temple tourist attraction area, Magelang Regency. *PWK Engineering Journal*, 3(4), 1038–1047.

Devi, Y. (2017). Planned Generation Program (GenRe) in the context of human development towards quality national development. *Journal of Sociopolitical Analysis*, 1(2), 93–108.

Hardani, A., et al. (2020). *Qualitative & quantitative research methods*. CV. Group Science Library.

Henryk, S. (2013). Community participation in development in Sungai Keledang Village, Samarinda District, Seberang City of Samarinda. *Journal of Governmental Science*, 1(2), 612–625.

Kurnianto, B. (2017). Socioeconomic impact on the community due to the development of the Wilis Circle in Tulungagung Regency. *AGRIBIS Journal*, 13(15), 1–31.

Muhammad, N. (2017). The impact of the existence of oil palm plantations in increasing community income in Long Kali District, Paser Regency. *Journal of Government Science*, 5(1), 1–14.

Muttalib, A., & Mashur, M. (2019). Analysis of the socio-economic impact of the community after the earthquake disaster in North Lombok Regency (KLU). *Mandala Education Scientific Journal*.

Ompusunggu, V. M. (2019). The impact of road infrastructure development on community economic growth in Spirit Mountain Village, Karo Regency, North Sumatra. *Journal of Chemical Information and Modeling*, 53(9), 1689–1699.

Posumah, F. (2015). The influence of infrastructure development on investment in Southeast Minahasa Regency. *Journal of Efficient Scientific Periodicals*, 15(2), 1–13.

Purnomo, S. D., Wijaya, M., & Setiawan, H. (2021). Infrastructure and poverty in the Special Region of Yogyakarta Province. *Management and Business Scientific Magazine (MIMB)*, 18(1), 10–19.

Sembiring, R. (2018). The impact of socio-economic cultural changes on poverty and well-being in the Hero Village community. *Economic and Public Policy Studies*.

Soleh, A. (2014). Village potential development strategy. *Sungkai Journal*.

Sugeng Cahyono, A. (2018). The impact of social media on children's social problems. *Publiciana*.

Sugiman, S. (2018). Village government.

Binamulia Law.

Sultan, M., Sunardi, & Abu, I. (2021).

Socialization and community empowerment. *Journal of Socialization.*

Wati, S. S., Agustina, F., & Evahelda, E. (2020).

Socioeconomic impact of the community oil palm plantation farmer empowerment program in Bangka Regency. *Journal of Integrated Agribusiness.*

Wijaya, I. S. (2015). Planning and

communication strategy in development activities. *Lantern.*