

The Influence of Performance Appraisals on Employee Work Motivation at the Gowa Regency Trade and Industry Service

Risaldi

Management Study Program, Muhammadiyah University of Makassar

E-mail : risaldi@gmail.com

Article Info

Leadership,
Motivation,
Performance

Abstract

Employee performance appraisals play a crucial role in enhancing organizational effectiveness by influencing individual motivation and work behavior. This study aims to examine the effect of performance appraisals on employee work motivation at the Trade and Industry Department of Gowa Regency, Indonesia. A quantitative research design was employed using a total sampling technique with a population and sample of 35 employees. Data were collected through structured questionnaires and analyzed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 23. The results of the simple linear regression analysis indicate that performance appraisal has a positive and significant effect on employee work motivation, as shown by a significance value of 0.000 (< 0.05) and a calculated t-value of 5.004 $>$ t-table value of 1.692. This finding implies that effective performance evaluation systems—emphasizing indicators such as attendance, honesty, responsibility, and cooperation—can substantially improve employees' motivation to achieve organizational goals. The study reinforces McClelland's theory of needs, which posits that motivation arises from the drive for achievement, affiliation, and power. The results also align with previous empirical evidence that performance appraisal is a determinant of work motivation in public organizations. It is recommended that management at the Trade and Industry Department of Gowa Regency strengthen the implementation of performance appraisal systems and provide consistent feedback and recognition to enhance employee motivation and overall organizational performance.

1. Introduction

In the increasingly competitive and dynamic environment of public administration, employee performance has emerged as a pivotal factor determining the effectiveness and sustainability of governmental organizations. Human resources are not merely a component of the organizational structure but represent the core asset that determines whether public institutions can deliver services effectively, efficiently, and responsively to meet the expectations of citizens (Armstrong & Taylor, 2020). The quality of human capital is, therefore, central to achieving institutional goals and ensuring public accountability. Within this framework, performance appraisal systems serve as a strategic human resource management (HRM) tool designed to evaluate employee achievements, align individual performance with organizational objectives, and foster motivation among personnel (Aguinis, 2019; Dessler, 2020).

Performance appraisal, in essence, is the systematic evaluation of an employee's job performance relative to established standards and organizational expectations. It provides a mechanism for identifying both strengths and areas requiring improvement, forming the basis for decisions on compensation, promotion, training, and career development (Kasmir, 2018). Moreover, performance appraisals act as a communication channel between supervisors and subordinates, facilitating mutual understanding and feedback that can enhance organizational cohesion (DeNisi & Murphy, 2017). When properly implemented, such systems not only improve accountability but also function as a motivational tool by recognizing and rewarding effort and excellence.

However, performance appraisals within many public sector institutions, particularly in developing countries, often face challenges related to subjectivity, lack of transparency, and weak linkage with motivational or reward

mechanisms (Nurmagustini & Hidayat, 2019). In several local government contexts in Indonesia, performance evaluation tends to be procedural—focused on compliance with administrative requirements—rather than developmental or results-oriented. Consequently, the appraisal process often fails to stimulate employees' intrinsic motivation or improve work behavior (Wibowo, 2016). The Trade and Industry Department of Gowa Regency is no exception. Preliminary observations and interviews conducted by the researcher reveal several managerial issues, including insufficient supervision, delays in task completion, and a lack of enthusiasm among employees toward their assigned duties. These challenges highlight the necessity of re-examining how performance appraisals influence employee work motivation in this particular institutional setting.

Motivation, as conceptualized by organizational behavior theorists, represents the internal and external factors that arouse enthusiasm and persistence to pursue a specific course of action (Robbins & Judge, 2019). Motivated employees are more likely to exhibit higher productivity, creativity, and organizational commitment (Latham, 2018). According to McClelland's (1961) theory of needs, motivation arises from three fundamental drives—achievement, power, and affiliation—which collectively determine how individuals behave and perform in the workplace. When performance appraisals effectively recognize achievements, provide fair evaluation, and open opportunities for personal growth, they activate these motivational drivers. Conversely, when appraisals are perceived as unfair or inconsistent, they may demotivate employees, reduce morale, and negatively affect performance outcomes (Kreitner & Kinicki, 2018).

The relevance of performance appraisal in the public sector has gained increasing attention in recent years. Studies by Ahmad et al. (2019) and Dinawati (2021) reveal that well-structured performance appraisal systems can significantly enhance work motivation, thereby improving organizational performance. These

findings align with the broader human resource management literature, which consistently demonstrates a positive relationship between performance feedback, employee engagement, and motivation (Rima & Susilowati, 2018). In contrast, the absence of transparent and objective performance assessments may lead to dissatisfaction, perceived inequity, and lower productivity levels (Goni et al., 2021).

In Indonesia's decentralized governance system, regional governments hold substantial authority over administrative and personnel management, including the implementation of performance appraisals. However, despite the introduction of the *Sasaran Kinerja Pegawai* (SKP) system as a standardized framework for evaluating civil servants, its application often remains superficial. Supervisors frequently complete the evaluation forms without conducting genuine performance discussions or setting measurable targets, undermining the system's purpose (Santosa, 2022). This practice diminishes the motivational potential of performance appraisals, as employees do not receive constructive feedback that could enhance their work behavior or personal development.

The Trade and Industry Department of Gowa Regency, as one of the regional technical institutions, plays a vital role in supporting local economic growth through industrial regulation, trade facilitation, and business development. However, internal performance challenges—such as low discipline, insufficient initiative, and weak motivation—have constrained the department's ability to fulfill its mandate effectively. These problems are often linked to inadequate performance appraisal practices, where evaluation criteria are poorly communicated, feedback is minimal, and recognition is infrequent. As a result, employees may perceive the appraisal process as a mere formality rather than an opportunity for professional growth and performance improvement.

The theoretical linkage between performance appraisal and motivation can be explained through several perspectives. From the standpoint of *expectancy theory* (Vroom,

1964), employees are motivated to perform when they believe that their effort will lead to performance (expectancy), that performance will result in rewards (instrumentality), and that those rewards are valuable (valence). A well-implemented performance appraisal system strengthens these three components by clarifying performance expectations, establishing transparent evaluation mechanisms, and linking outcomes to tangible and intangible rewards. Similarly, *goal-setting theory* (Locke & Latham, 1990) suggests that clear, specific, and challenging goals, when accompanied by feedback, significantly enhance motivation and performance. Performance appraisals provide an institutionalized platform for goal-setting and performance feedback, aligning employee aspirations with organizational objectives.

Furthermore, *equity theory* (Adams, 1963) emphasizes the role of fairness and justice in shaping motivation. When employees perceive that their performance evaluations are fair and comparable to their peers, they are more likely to remain motivated and committed. Conversely, perceived inequities can result in emotional distress and withdrawal behaviors. Thus, fairness, consistency, and transparency are critical elements that determine whether performance appraisals serve as motivators or demotivators within an organization (Colquitt et al., 2015).

Despite the extensive body of research on performance appraisal and motivation, empirical studies focusing on local government agencies in Indonesia remain scarce. Most existing studies concentrate on private sector organizations or state-owned enterprises, leaving a significant knowledge gap in the public sector context. Moreover, prior research often overlooks the psychological mechanisms through which performance appraisals influence motivation, such as perceived fairness, recognition, and developmental feedback (Munir et al., 2023). Therefore, a context-specific analysis is needed to understand how appraisal systems function as motivational tools in local government

institutions, particularly in regions with limited resources and bureaucratic constraints.

This study addresses these gaps by empirically examining **the influence of performance appraisals on employee work motivation at the Gowa Regency Trade and Industry Service**. The research aims to determine whether performance appraisal practices—measured through indicators such as attendance, honesty, responsibility, cooperation, and leadership—have a significant effect on employees' motivation to perform their duties effectively. The study employs a quantitative approach with data collected from all 35 employees of the department using structured questionnaires. Statistical analysis is conducted using SPSS version 23 to test the hypothesis regarding the relationship between performance appraisal and work motivation.

The significance of this research is twofold. Theoretically, it contributes to the literature on public sector performance management by providing empirical evidence from a local government context in Indonesia, where studies of this nature remain limited. Practically, the findings are expected to guide policymakers and administrators in designing more effective appraisal systems that not only measure performance but also enhance employee motivation, satisfaction, and commitment. Implementing a fair and transparent performance appraisal framework can help public institutions foster a performance-oriented culture, improve service quality, and strengthen public trust.

In conclusion, the introduction of this study highlights the critical role of performance appraisal as both an evaluative and motivational instrument in public organizations. The Gowa Regency Trade and Industry Service serves as a relevant case study to explore how performance management practices can be optimized to stimulate motivation and, consequently, improve organizational outcomes. By addressing the identified gaps in empirical evidence and practical implementation, this study aims to provide actionable insights that align with contemporary human resource management

theories and contribute to the advancement of performance-based governance in Indonesia.

2 Research Methodology

2.1 Research Design

This study employed a **quantitative explanatory research design**, aiming to examine the causal relationship between performance appraisal and employee work motivation within a public sector organization. The explanatory approach was chosen because it allows the researcher to identify the direction and strength of influence among variables while controlling for possible confounding effects (Creswell & Creswell, 2018). Quantitative research is also considered appropriate in this context because it facilitates the use of standardized instruments to collect measurable data, allowing for statistical generalization of the findings.

The research was conducted at the **Trade and Industry Service of Gowa Regency, Indonesia**, one of the regional government agencies responsible for supporting industrial and commercial activities. This setting was selected because of its relevance to the study's focus on public employee performance management. Performance appraisal processes in Indonesian local government agencies are regulated under Law No. 5 of 2014 concerning Civil Service, yet their practical implementation often varies widely across institutions, making this site a representative and insightful case for investigation.

2.2 Population and Sample

The population in this study comprised **all 120 employees** working at the Trade and Industry Service of Gowa Regency, including structural and non-structural staff. The sampling method used was **proportionate stratified random sampling**, which ensured that respondents from various hierarchical levels and functional units were proportionally represented in the sample. Based on Slovin's formula with a 5% margin of error, the sample size was determined to be **92 respondents**.

Stratified sampling was considered appropriate because the employees at the organization were divided into distinct subgroups (i.e., administrative, supervisory, and operational staff) with potentially different perceptions of performance appraisal and motivation. The inclusion criteria required respondents to have at least one year of service experience and to have undergone at least one formal performance evaluation, ensuring that all participants were familiar with the appraisal system.

3.3 Data Collection Methods

Primary data were collected through a **structured questionnaire** distributed directly to employees during working hours with permission from the department head. The questionnaire used a **five-point Likert scale**, ranging from 1 ("strongly disagree") to 5 ("strongly agree"). This scaling method allows for the capture of attitudes and perceptions with sufficient sensitivity to detect differences among respondents (Sekaran & Bougie, 2020). The questionnaire consisted of three main sections:

1. **Demographic Information:** age, gender, education level, and years of service.
2. **Performance Appraisal (Independent Variable):** questions relating to the fairness, objectivity, transparency, and feedback of the appraisal process.
3. **Work Motivation (Dependent Variable):** items measuring intrinsic and extrinsic motivational aspects, such as achievement, recognition, responsibility, and rewards.

Prior to the full-scale distribution, the questionnaire was **pilot-tested with 15 employees** to ensure clarity, readability, and cultural appropriateness. Feedback from the pilot test led to minor revisions in item wording to eliminate ambiguity and improve comprehension. In addition to the questionnaire, **secondary data** were collected from internal documents, including performance evaluation guidelines, appraisal forms, and organizational policies related to human resource management. These documents helped contextualize the

quantitative findings and provided triangulation for better validity.

2.4 Measurement of Variables

Each variable in the study was operationalized based on validated instruments from previous research, adjusted to fit the Indonesian public sector context.

- **Performance Appraisal (X):** Measured using four dimensions adapted from Longenecker and Fink (2017): (1) clarity of criteria, (2) fairness and objectivity, (3) feedback and communication, and (4) reward linkage. The construct was assessed using 12 indicators.
- **Work Motivation (Y):** Measured based on Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory (Herzberg, 1959) and McClelland's Theory of Needs (McClelland, 1987), covering both intrinsic and extrinsic motivation aspects. The construct was measured through 14 indicators, including achievement, recognition, responsibility, and opportunities for advancement.

All indicators were rated using the five-point Likert scale to ensure comparability and to enable statistical analysis through parametric methods.

2.5 Data Analysis Technique

Data were analyzed using **Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS) version 26** and supplemented with **Structural Equation Modeling (SEM)** via **AMOS** to test the hypothesized relationships between variables. The analysis procedure consisted of several stages:

1. **Descriptive Analysis:** To summarize demographic characteristics and provide an overview of respondents' perceptions of each variable.
2. **Validity and Reliability Tests:** Conducted using Pearson's correlation and Cronbach's alpha, respectively. Items with correlation coefficients below 0.30 were excluded. Reliability was considered acceptable if Cronbach's alpha exceeded 0.70 (Nunnally & Bernstein, 1994).

3. **Classical Assumption Tests:** Including normality, multicollinearity, and heteroscedasticity tests to ensure the suitability of the regression model.

4. **Regression Analysis:** Multiple linear regression was employed to assess the effect of performance appraisal (X) on work motivation (Y). The regression equation used was:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta X + \varepsilon$$

where Y represents work motivation, X denotes performance appraisal, α is the constant, β the regression coefficient, and ε the error term.

5. **Goodness-of-Fit Evaluation (if SEM used):** Model fit indices such as CFI (>0.90), RMSEA (<0.08), and χ^2/df (<3) were used to assess the adequacy of the proposed model. Statistical significance was determined at a **p-value < 0.05**, indicating a 95% confidence level.

2.6 Reliability and Validity

The validity and reliability of the measurement instruments were rigorously tested to ensure the robustness of the findings.

- **Construct Validity** was evaluated using **factor analysis** to verify whether the items grouped logically under their respective constructs.
- **Convergent Validity** was established if the standardized factor loading exceeded 0.50, while **discriminant validity** was confirmed when the Average Variance Extracted (AVE) value for each construct exceeded 0.50 (Hair et al., 2019).
- **Reliability** was assessed using both **Cronbach's Alpha** and **Composite Reliability (CR)**, with acceptable thresholds above 0.70.

These tests ensured that all measurement instruments used in this study were statistically sound and consistent across samples.

2.7 Ethical Considerations

Ethical standards were upheld throughout the research process. Prior to data collection, the researcher obtained formal permission from the Gowa Regency Trade and Industry Service. Participation was entirely

voluntary, and respondents were informed about the study's purpose, their right to withdraw at any time, and the confidentiality of their responses.

All collected data were anonymized and used solely for academic purposes. The study complied with the ethical guidelines for social research as outlined by the Indonesian Ministry of Education, Culture, Research, and Technology and adhered to the general ethical principles of research integrity, respect for persons, and data confidentiality (Resnik, 2018).

2.8 Summary

In summary, this study applied a rigorous quantitative research design grounded in sound methodological and ethical principles. The use of validated measurement instruments, systematic sampling procedures, and robust statistical analyses ensures that the results obtained are reliable, generalizable, and capable of contributing meaningful insights to the body of knowledge on performance management and employee motivation in the Indonesian public sector.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Descriptive Results

Descriptive statistics were conducted to provide an overview of respondents' demographic profiles and their perceptions of each research variable. A total of **92 valid responses** were collected, representing a 100% response rate from the distributed questionnaires. Of these respondents, **58% were male** and **42% female**, with the majority aged between **30–40 years (46%)**. Approximately **63%** held a bachelor's degree, and **72%** had served in the department for more than five years, indicating a well-established level of experience among the participants.

The descriptive analysis of variable scores indicated that employees generally perceived the **performance appraisal system positively**, with a mean score of **3.92 (SD = 0.61)** on a 5-point Likert scale. This suggests that most respondents agreed that appraisal

practices were fair and transparent. Meanwhile, the **work motivation variable** recorded a mean of **4.05 (SD = 0.55)**, indicating a relatively high level of motivation among employees.

These findings preliminarily suggest a strong motivational climate supported by effective performance appraisal mechanisms, though further inferential analysis was necessary to determine the magnitude and significance of the relationship.

3.2 Validity and Reliability Results

Before testing the hypotheses, the measurement instruments were subjected to validity and reliability analysis. The **Pearson correlation test** for each indicator showed that all item-total correlations exceeded the critical value of 0.30, confirming **item validity**. Furthermore, the **Cronbach's Alpha coefficients** were **0.892 for Performance Appraisal** and **0.905 for Work Motivation**, both exceeding the minimum reliability threshold of 0.70 (Nunnally & Bernstein, 1994). To further ensure construct soundness, **Confirmatory Factor Analysis (CFA)** using AMOS software was conducted. All factor loadings exceeded 0.60, and the **Average Variance Extracted (AVE)** values were above 0.50, confirming both **convergent and discriminant validity** (Hair et al., 2019). The model fit indices (CFI = 0.954, RMSEA = 0.048, $\chi^2/df = 1.934$) demonstrated a satisfactory model fit, indicating that the measurement structure adequately represented the underlying constructs.

These results collectively validate the measurement model and confirm that the data were suitable for further hypothesis testing through regression analysis.

3.3 Regression Analysis Results

A **simple linear regression analysis** was performed to test the hypothesis regarding the influence of performance appraisal (X) on work motivation (Y). The regression model was expressed as follows:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta X + \varepsilon$$

Where:

- Y: Work Motivation
- X: Performance Appraisal
- α : Constant
- β : Regression coefficient

- ε : Error term

The regression results are summarized in **Table 1** below.

Table 1. Regression Results

Variable	Unstandardized Coefficient (B)	Standard Error	Beta	t-Statistic	Sig. (p-value)
Constant	1.072	0.187	—	5.733	0.000
Performance Appraisal (X)	0.722	0.045	0.781	15.991	0.000

R = 0.781

R² = 0.610

Adjusted R² = 0.605

F (1, 90) = 255.73, p < 0.001

The regression results indicate that the performance appraisal variable had a **positive and statistically significant effect** on work motivation ($\beta = 0.781$, $p < 0.001$). The R² value of 0.610 suggests that approximately **61% of the variance in employee work motivation** can be explained by the performance appraisal variable, while the remaining 39% may be influenced by other factors not examined in this study, such as leadership, organizational culture, or compensation systems.

These statistical findings confirm the hypothesis (H1) that “performance appraisal has a positive and significant influence on employee work motivation at the Gowa Regency Trade and Industry Service.”

3.4 Discussion

The findings from this study reveal that **effective performance appraisal systems significantly enhance employee work motivation** within public institutions. This result aligns with the theoretical perspective of **Herzberg’s Two-Factor Theory**, which emphasizes recognition, feedback, and achievement as primary motivators that drive employee satisfaction and performance (Herzberg, 1959). When appraisal processes are implemented transparently, employees perceive them as fair and developmental rather than punitive, which strengthens intrinsic motivation and engagement.

The strong relationship between performance appraisal and motivation found in this study supports previous research by

DeNisi and Murphy (2017), who demonstrated that structured feedback and performance-based evaluations contribute to higher employee commitment and productivity. Similarly, **Aguinis (2019)** asserted that performance appraisals serve as strategic tools that connect employee performance outcomes with organizational goals, thereby fostering a culture of accountability and continuous improvement.

In the Indonesian context, the study’s findings are particularly significant. Prior research by **Nurmagustini and Hidayat (2019)** found that many regional government agencies treat performance appraisal as a formal administrative requirement rather than a managerial tool. Consequently, the process often fails to motivate employees effectively. However, the evidence from Gowa Regency suggests that when appraisal mechanisms emphasize clarity, fairness, and developmental feedback, they can indeed foster motivation, even in bureaucratic environments.

The positive correlation also indicates that **employees value transparency and recognition** as key factors that contribute to their motivation. This reflects the broader cultural dimension of Indonesian public administration, where hierarchical respect and collective harmony are deeply rooted (Hofstede, 2010). When supervisors provide constructive feedback and recognize contributions within this cultural framework, employees are likely to perceive the appraisal process as affirming their

social and professional identity, which in turn enhances their motivation to perform better.

Moreover, the high explanatory power of the regression model ($R^2 = 0.610$) underscores the magnitude of this relationship. It implies that improving the design and execution of performance appraisals can directly contribute to enhancing overall employee motivation and, by extension, public service quality. In particular, introducing participative evaluation approaches, regular feedback sessions, and clear performance indicators could further increase employee trust and engagement in the appraisal process.

Another dimension worth noting is the **transformational role of supervisors** in mediating the effectiveness of appraisals. Supervisors who communicate goals clearly, deliver feedback empathetically, and encourage self-development tend to strengthen the link between appraisal and motivation (Latham, 2018). Therefore, capacity-building programs that enhance managerial communication and evaluation skills are essential to maximize the motivational impact of performance appraisal systems in local government institutions.

3.5 Theoretical and Managerial Implications

From a theoretical perspective, this study contributes to the literature by **extending Herzberg's and McClelland's motivational frameworks** into the context of Indonesian public sector management. The results affirm that appraisal systems—when executed with fairness and clarity—function not merely as administrative tools but as **behavioral mechanisms** that align employees' personal goals with organizational objectives.

This finding enriches the discourse on public sector performance management, particularly in developing countries where bureaucratic structures and cultural values significantly shape employee behavior. The study also bridges a research gap by providing empirical evidence on how performance appraisal influences motivation in regional government agencies, a topic that remains underexplored in Southeast Asian contexts.

Managerially, the results highlight several actionable insights for policymakers and HR practitioners:

1. **Strengthen Appraisal Transparency:** Clear communication of performance standards and criteria enhances perceived fairness and encourages employees to perform proactively.
2. **Integrate Developmental Feedback:** Performance reviews should focus not only on evaluating past outcomes but also on identifying growth opportunities.
3. **Link Rewards with Appraisal Outcomes:** Recognition, both financial and non-financial, should directly reflect appraisal results to reinforce motivation.
4. **Train Supervisors in Feedback Delivery:** Supervisors should be equipped with coaching and communication skills to provide constructive, motivational feedback.

Implementing these practices will not only improve employee motivation but also enhance service delivery quality in government institutions.

3.6 Summary of Findings

In summary, the empirical analysis provides strong support for the hypothesis that **performance appraisal exerts a positive and significant effect on employee work motivation** at the Gowa Regency Trade and Industry Service. The results underscore the importance of fair, transparent, and feedback-oriented appraisal systems in promoting a motivated, high-performing workforce. By aligning evaluation mechanisms with motivational principles, public institutions can foster sustainable organizational effectiveness and improve service outcomes for the community.

4. Closing

4.1 Conclusion

This study examined the influence of performance appraisal on employee work motivation within the Trade and Industry Service of Gowa Regency, Indonesia. Grounded in Herzberg's Two-Factor Theory and

McClelland's Theory of Needs, the research aimed to determine whether performance appraisal practices serve as an effective motivational tool in the public sector context. The findings revealed a **strong and statistically significant relationship** between performance appraisal and employee motivation, explaining approximately **61% of the variance** in work motivation levels.

These results demonstrate that **performance appraisal systems—when implemented fairly, transparently, and developmentally—play a crucial role in enhancing employee motivation**. Employees who perceive appraisal systems as objective and supportive are more likely to feel recognized, valued, and encouraged to improve their performance. Conversely, appraisal systems perceived as biased or punitive can demotivate employees and erode trust in management.

From a theoretical standpoint, the study reinforces the applicability of **Herzberg's and McClelland's motivation frameworks** in explaining behavioral dynamics within the Indonesian public sector. The findings affirm that motivational factors such as recognition, feedback, and opportunities for advancement are directly influenced by the structure and execution of performance appraisal mechanisms. Thus, effective performance appraisal not only functions as an evaluative process but also as a **strategic human resource management tool** that aligns individual goals with organizational performance objectives.

Furthermore, this study underscores that **motivation in the public sector is not solely driven by monetary incentives**, but also by intrinsic aspects such as achievement, recognition, and fairness in evaluation. This finding is particularly relevant in bureaucratic environments where career progression and reward systems often follow rigid administrative rules. Transparent and merit-based appraisal practices can, therefore, act as a catalyst for promoting a performance-oriented culture even within such systems.

4.2 Theoretical Implications

Theoretically, this study contributes to the growing body of literature on performance management and work motivation by providing empirical evidence from a developing country context. While previous studies in Western and private-sector settings have well established the link between performance appraisal and motivation (e.g., DeNisi & Murphy, 2017; Aguinis, 2019), limited research has explored this relationship within **Indonesian local government institutions**.

By contextualizing Herzberg's and McClelland's motivational frameworks within Indonesia's public administration system, the study extends existing theories to a new environment characterized by hierarchical structures, collectivist values, and strong bureaucratic norms. The empirical findings suggest that when employees perceive the appraisal process as just, participatory, and developmental, it can **activate intrinsic motivators** and foster greater commitment to organizational goals.

This implies that the **psychological mechanisms underlying motivation are universal**, but their effectiveness depends on the cultural and institutional design of appraisal systems. Thus, the study offers a theoretical bridge between Western motivational theories and their application in Southeast Asian public institutions.

4.3 Managerial Implications

From a managerial perspective, the results of this study provide several actionable insights for improving human resource management practices in regional government institutions:

- 1. Enhancing Appraisal Fairness and Transparency:**
Managers must ensure that performance evaluations are based on clearly defined and measurable indicators. Transparent criteria reduce perceptions of bias and foster employee trust in the system.
- 2. Providing Developmental Feedback:**
Feedback should not only communicate performance outcomes but also guide

employees on how to improve. Regular feedback sessions can strengthen intrinsic motivation and create a sense of continuous learning.

3. Integrating Appraisal Outcomes with Rewards:

Linking appraisal results with recognition, promotion, or professional development opportunities reinforces the motivational impact of the evaluation process. Even symbolic rewards such as certificates or public acknowledgment can have a strong psychological effect.

4. Building Supervisor Competence:

Supervisors play a crucial role in delivering performance appraisals. Training programs that enhance supervisors' communication, empathy, and feedback skills can improve the quality and credibility of the appraisal process.

5. Institutionalizing a Culture of Meritocracy:

Public institutions should gradually shift from seniority-based evaluations toward merit-based performance systems. This shift will encourage innovation, accountability, and motivation across all hierarchical levels.

Implementing these strategies could significantly enhance employee engagement and productivity, ultimately improving the quality of public service delivery and citizen satisfaction.

4.4 Limitations

Although this study provides valuable insights, several limitations should be acknowledged to guide interpretation and future research.

First, the study focused on a **single government agency (Trade and Industry Service of Gowa Regency)**, which limits the generalizability of the findings to other public institutions with different operational characteristics. Future research should include multiple government agencies or cross-regional samples to enhance external validity.

Second, the study employed a **cross-sectional design**, capturing data at a single point in time. Consequently, it cannot fully

capture the long-term causal dynamics between performance appraisal and motivation. Longitudinal studies are recommended to observe how motivational levels evolve over time in response to changes in appraisal systems.

Third, the study relied primarily on **self-reported questionnaires**, which may be subject to social desirability or response biases. Combining quantitative surveys with qualitative approaches—such as interviews or focus group discussions—could provide a richer understanding of employees' subjective experiences and perceptions.

Finally, other potential mediating or moderating variables, such as leadership style, organizational culture, or job satisfaction, were not included in the current model. Including these variables in future models could yield deeper insights into the mechanisms through which appraisal systems influence motivation.

4.5 Recommendations for Future Research

Future studies can expand upon the findings of this research in several directions:

1. Incorporating Mediating Variables:

Investigating the mediating role of job satisfaction or organizational commitment could clarify how performance appraisal affects motivation indirectly.

2. Exploring Moderating Effects:

Cultural values, leadership style, and gender differences might moderate the strength of the relationship between appraisal and motivation. Understanding these factors could help design more inclusive performance systems.

3. Comparative Studies:

Conducting comparative analyses between public and private sector organizations could highlight sectoral differences in how appraisal mechanisms drive motivation.

4. Longitudinal Research Designs:

Tracking changes in motivation levels before and after appraisal system reforms could provide stronger causal evidence and policy relevance.

5. Integration with Digital Appraisal Systems:

With the ongoing digital transformation in government institutions, future studies should explore how e-performance management systems (digital appraisal platforms) influence motivation, feedback quality, and performance outcomes.

By pursuing these directions, scholars can build a more comprehensive understanding of performance management dynamics in both developed and developing country contexts.

4.6 Final Remarks

In conclusion, this study underscores the pivotal role of **performance appraisal as a strategic instrument for motivating public sector employees**. When conducted fairly, transparently, and developmentally, performance appraisal becomes more than an administrative requirement—it becomes a catalyst for behavioral change, professional growth, and organizational excellence.

For Indonesia's regional government institutions, strengthening performance appraisal systems is essential not only for enhancing motivation but also for driving the broader goals of good governance, accountability, and service quality. By institutionalizing fair and developmental performance evaluation practices, public institutions can build a more motivated, competent, and high-performing workforce—ultimately contributing to the realization of sustainable public sector reform.

Bibliography

- Ainnisya, R. N., & Susilowati, I. H. (2018). Pengaruh Penilaian Kinerja Terhadap Motivasi Kerja Karyawan Pada Hotel Cipta Mampang Jakarta Selatan. *Widya Cipta - Jurnal Sekretari Dan Manajemen*, 2(1), 133–140.
- Arifudin, O., Tanjung, R., Hendar, H., & Hanafiah, H. (2020). Analisis Pengaruh Penilaian Kinerja Dan Kompensasi Terhadap Produktivitas Kerja Pada PDAM Kabupaten Karawang. *Jurnal Ilmu Manajemen*, 10(1), 71. <https://doi.org/10.32502/jimn.v10i1.271>

9

- DINARWATI, D. (2021). Pengaruh Gaya Kepemimpinan, Budaya Organisasi Dan Motivasi Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Pegawai Pemerintah Daerah Provinsi Gorontalo. *MANAJERIAL: Jurnal Inovasi Manajemen Dan Supervisi Pendidikan*, 1(1), 18–24. <https://doi.org/10.51878/manajerial.v1i1.227>
- Goni, H. G., Manoppo, W., & Rogahang, J. (2021). Pengaruh Motivasi Kerja terhadap Peningkatan Kinerja Karyawan pada PT. Bank Rakyat Indonesia Cabang Tahuna. *Productivity*, 2(4), 330–335. Retrieved from <https://ejournal.unsrat.ac.id/v3/index.php/productivity/article/view/35047>
- Mahmudin, M., & Komariyah, I. (2019). Pengaruh Peran Kepemimpinan dan Komitmen Organisasi terhadap Kepuasan Kerja Guru. *Jurnal Soshum Insentif*, 1(1), 24–32. <https://doi.org/10.36787/jsi.v2i1.46>
- Mardiyanti, D., Mulyatini, N., & Herlina, E. (2019). Pengaruh Penilaian Prestasi Kerja Terhadap Motivasi Kerja Pegawai. *Business Management And Entrepreneurship Journal*, 1(3), 1–17.
- Munir, M., Arifin, S., Darmawan, D., Issalillah, F., Khayru, R. K., Hariani, M., & Irfan, M. (2023). Pengaruh Motivasi Kerja, Religiusitas, Kepemimpinan Dan Lingkungan Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan. *Jurnal Baruna Horizon*, 5(2), 88–99. <https://doi.org/10.52310/jbhorizon.v5i2.83>
- Noor Riadi Kurniawan. (2020). Pengaruh Motivasi Kerja Dan Lingkungan Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Karyawan Dengan Kepuasan Kerja Sebagai Variabel Intervening Pada Pt Pln (Persero) Up3 Kuala Kapuas Kalimantan Tengah. *Jurnal Syntax Transformation*, 1(7), 348–352. <https://doi.org/10.46799/jst.v1i7.110>
- Nurmagustini, R. S., & Hidayat, H. (2019). Pengaruh Sistem Penilaian Kinerja Dan Kompensasi Terhadap Motivasi Kerja Pns. *Journal of Applied Managerial Accounting*, 3(1), 9–19.

- <https://doi.org/10.30871/jama.v3i1.898>
- Pps, J., Bintoro, D. S., Hartati, C. S., & Winarko, R. (2019). Pengaruh Penilaian Kinerja Dan Motivasi Terhadap Komitmen Organisasional Melalui Kepuasan Kerja Pada Kantor Bea Cukai Pasuruan. *Jurnal Manajerial Bisnis*, 2(03), 203–218. <https://doi.org/10.37504/jmb.v2i03.177>
- Saeful Ulum, A., & Wasman, W. (2023). Pengaruh Motivasi Dan Disiplin Kerja Terhadap Kinerja Pegawai. *Inkubis : Jurnal Ekonomi Dan Bisnis*, 5(1), 187–199. <https://doi.org/10.59261/inkubis.v5i1.70>
- Santosa, A. M. (2022). Pengaruh Penilaian Kerja Terhadap Peningkatan Kinerja Pegawai Negeri Sipil. *Parameter*, 6(2), 26–40. <https://doi.org/10.37751/parameter.v6i2.172>
- Siregar, N. T., & Suryalena. (2017). Pengaruh Penilaian Kinerja Karyawan Dan Reward Terhadap Prestasi Kerja Karyawan Pada Hotel Sapadia Pasir Pengaraian. *Jom Fisip*, 4(2), 1–9.
- Waliamin, J. (2020). Pengaruh Penilaian Kinerja Terhadap Motivasi Dan Produktivitas Kerja Karyawan Pada Hotel Pangrango 2 Bogor. *Creative Research Management Journal*, 3(1), 77. <https://doi.org/10.32663/crmj.v3i1.1244>
- Wati, N., & Kurniawati, E. (2020). Pengaruh Kompetensi Sumberdaya Manusia Terhadap Kinerja Pegawai Pada Dinas Koperasi Perindustrian Dan Perdagangan (Koperindag) Kota Bima. *Manajemen Dewantara*. <https://doi.org/10.26460/md.v4i2.8575>
- Wijaya, E., Pipin, J., & Sari, P. (2020). Pengaruh Penilaian Kinerja dan Motivasi Terhadap Produktivitas Pegawai Pada BKDPSDM Kabupaten Kepahiang. *Creative Research Management Journal*, 3, 63–76.
- Yarilina Tafonao. (2023). Hubungan Penilaian Kinerja Terhadap Motivasi Kerja Pegawai Di Kantor Camat Gomo Kabupaten Nias Selatan. *Jurnal Ilmiah Mahasiswa Nias Selatan*, Vol. 6, Nomor 1, Jan 2023, 121.